GARY R. HERBERT

Lieutenant Governor

State of Utah

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

MICHAEL R. STYLER
Executive Director

Division of Oil, Gas and Mining JOHN R. BAZA

Division Director

Outgones C00700B G #3254

April 20, 2009

CERTIFIED RETURN REÇEIPT 7004 2510 0004 1824 9702

Jay Marshall Tutah American Energy Inc. P. O. Box 910
East Carbon, Utah 84520-0910

Subject:

Proposed Assessment for State Violation #CO10035, Utah American Energy

Inc., Lila Canyon Mine, C/007/0013 Task # 3254, Outgoing File

Dear Mr. Marshall:

The undersigned has been appointed by the Division of Oil, Gas & Mining as the Assessment Officer for assessing penalties under R645-401.

Enclosed is the proposed civil penalty assessment for the above referenced violation. The violation was issued by Division Inspector, Pete Hess, on March 17, 2009. Rule R645-401-600 et. seq. has been utilized to formulate the proposed penalty. By these rules, any written information which was submitted by you or your agent within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this Cessation Order has been considered in determining the facts surrounding the violation and the amount of penalty.

Under R645-401-700, there are two informal appeal options available to you:

1. If you wish to informally appeal the <u>fact of this violation</u>, you should file a written request for an Informal Conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. This conference will be conducted by the Division Director. This Informal Conference is distinct from the Assessment Conference regarding the proposed penalty.



Page 2 #CO10035 Proposed Assessment April 20, 2009

2. If you wish to review the proposed penalty assessment, you should file a written request for an Assessment Conference within thirty (30) days of receipt of this letter. If you are also requesting a review of the fact of violation, as noted in paragraph 1, the Assessment Conference will be scheduled immediately following that review.

If a timely request for review is not made, the fact of violation will stand, the proposed penalty(ies) will become final, and the penalty(ies) will be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the proposed assessment. Please remit payment to the Division, mail c/o Suzanne Steab.

Sincerely,

Joseph C. Helfrich

Assessment Officer

Enclosure

cc:

OSM Compliance Report Suzanne Steab, DOGM Vicki Bailey, DOGM Price Field Office

O:\007013.HOR\FINAL\WG3254\WG3254PROPOSED_CO 10035.DOC

- 202E	U.S. Postal ServiceTM CERTIFIED MAILTM REC (Domestic Mail Only; No Insurance C For delivery information visit our website a	overage Provided)
8 5 7 4	OFFICIAL	USE
	* Postage \$ Certified Fee	
	Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	Postmark Here
4 - 25.1	(Endorsement Required) Total Postage & Fees \$	0 0 2 5
200	Sent To Jay Marshall Street, Apt. No.; or PO Box No. PO BOX 910	
	City, State, ZIP+4 East Carbon UT PS Form 3800, June 2002	84520-0910 See Reverse for Instructions
• •		

WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES DIVISION OF OIL, GAS & MINING

COMP	PANY	/ MINE	Utah American En	ergy Inc. Lila (Canyon mine		
PERM	IT <u>C</u>	/007/001	13 NOV / CO	# 10035	VIOLATI	ON <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>	
ASSES	SSME	NT DAT	ΓΕ <u>April 20, 2009</u>				
ASSES	SSME	NT OFF	ICER Joe Helfrich				
I.	HIST	ORY (Max. 25 pts.)				
	A.		ere previous violation ar of today's date?	ons, which are	not pending or	vacated, which fall or	ne
	PREV	/IOUS V	VIOLATIONS	EFFECTIV	'E DATE	POINTS	
	1 point for each past violation, up to one (1) year 5 points for each past violation in a CO, up to one (1) year No pending notices shall be counted						
					TOTAL I	HISTORY POINTS_	0
II.	SERIOUSNESS (Either A or B)						
	NOTE:		For assignment of	points in Parts	II and III, the	following apply:	
	1. Based on facts supplied by the inspector, the Assessment Officer wil determine within each category where the violation falls.						
		2.		p or down, utili		Assessment Officer was ctor's and operator's	ill
		Is this	an EVENT (A) or H	HINDRANCE (B) violation?	Hindrance	
	A. <u>EVENT VIOLATION</u> (Max 45 pts.)						
		1.	What is the event v	which the viola	ted standard w	as designed to prevent	t?

2. What is the probability of the occurrence of the event which a violated standard was designed to prevent?

<u>PROBABILITY</u>	<u>RANGE</u>
None	0
Unlikely	1-9
Likely	10-19
Occurred	20

ASSIGN PROBABILITY OF OCCURRENCE POINTS _____

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

3. What is the extent of actual or potential damage?

RANGE 0-25

In assigning points, consider the duration and extent of said damage or impact, in terms of area and impact on the public or environment.

ASSIGN DAMAGE POINTS ____

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

- B. HINDRANCE VIOLATION (Max 25 pts.)
 - 1. Is this a POTENTIAL or ACTUAL hindrance to enforcement? <u>Actual</u>
 RANGE 0-25

Assign points based on the extent to which enforcement is actually or potentially hindered by the violation.

ASSIGN HINDRANCE POINTS 20

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

*** By not having an adequate financial strength rating the inspector is hindered from determining if the permittee is adequately bonded to meet the required reclamation obligations.

TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS (A or B)_____

III. <u>NEGLIGENCE</u> (Max 30 pts.)

A. Was this an inadvertent violation which was unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care? IF SO--NO NEGLIGENCE; or, was this a failure of a permittee

to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care, or the failure to abate any violation due to the same? IF SO--GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT THAN NEGLIGENCE.

No Negligence

0

Negligence

1-15

Greater Degree of Fault

16-30

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE Greater degree of fault ____

ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

*** According to the inspector statement the permittee was notified in writing and given 120 days to provide an adequate surety rating. Indifference to DOGM regulations is cited in the inspector statement.

IV. GOOD FAITH (Max 20 pts.)

(Either A or B)

(Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures)

A. Did the operator have onsite, the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area?

IF SO--EASY ABATEMENT

Easy Abatement Situation

Immediate Compliance

-11 to -20*

(Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)

Rapid Compliance

-1 to -10

(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)

Normal Compliance

(Operator complied within the abatement period required) (Operator complied with condition and/or terms of

approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

B. Did the permittee not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance, or does the situation require the submission of plans prior to physical activity to achieve compliance?

IF SO--DIFFICULT ABATEMENT

Difficult Abatement Situation

Rapid Compliance

-11 to -20*

^{*}Assign in upper of lower half of range depending on abatement occurring the 1st or 2nd half of abatement period.

(Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)

• Normal Compliance

-1 to -10*

(Operator complied within the abatement period required)

• Extended Compliance

0

(Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay within the limits of the NOV or the violated standard of the plan submitted for abatement was incomplete) (Permittee complied with conditions and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

EASY OF	R DIFFICULT	ABATEMENT?	Easy

ASSIGN GOOD FAITH POINTS ____8_

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS:

*** The permittee exercised diligence in abating the violation in the first half of the abatement period.

V. <u>ASSESSMENT SUMMARY</u>

NOT	ICE OF VIOLATION <u># CO 10035</u>	
I.	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS	0
II.	TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS	20
III.	TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS	30
IV.	TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS	8_
	TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS	42
	TOTAL ASSESSED FINE	\$ 2420